

Extension of timetable compression approach for assessing the capacity of stations

 with turnarounds and alternative track assignment

Presentation of KAIN2

KAJT höstseminarium 2023



Elin Hellblom supervisors Oskar Fröidh, Ingrid Johansson, Carl-William Palmqvist & Anders Lindfeldt



Background

- UIC 406 one of the most common ways to express capacity
- Trafikverket's method

Stations

- Stations with infinite capacity (!?)
- UIC 406 (2013) method for nodes/stations







KAJT project 2017-2019

Participants:

- Jennifer Warg, PhD student KTH
- Ingrid Johansson, PhD student KTH
- Norman Weik, visiting PhD student Germany

Purpose:

 Improve the existing methods for estimation of capacity utilisation

Study:

- Compression of entire stations
 - Case study: Norrköping C
- Compression of lines
 - Longer lines segments
 - Allow for (some) overtakings
 - Case study: Södra stambanan (Hm-Av), double track



Background

- Weik, Warg & Johansson (2020) presented a method for station capacity calculations
- Based on UIC 406 compression, the station is treated in its entirety
- Infrastructure data and timetable information are retrieved from RailSys
- From the data block sections from each train route extracted and compared → find conflicts







KAIN2 project

Alternative track routes through stations.

Train turnarounds at stations.

Implementation of single track with introduction of specifications such as "samtidig infart"

Comparing with Trafiverket's current method based on UIC code 406. Case study for both stations and lines in the entire Trafikverket owned railway network (with possible model calibration).

A method for grading the estimated capacity utilization is also developed. A visualization/ illustration of the capacity utilization for lines and stations respectively











KAIN2

Aims

- More precise estimations
- Better predictability and punctuality

Existing infrastructure

- Analyse capacity utilization
- Find limitations in the network
- Better utilization of the existing infrastructure

Planning

• Effects of new infrastructure or timetable

First part

- Connected turnarounds
- Alternative train routes at the station





First part

Task 1: learning

- Learn the model
- Calibration

Task 2: extensions

- Connect trains with turnarounds
- Assignment of alternative track routes





Case study

- Norrköping C
- Timetable T22
- Thur 27th of Oct 2022





Extension 1: connected turnarounds

- Norrköping C is the end/start station for the commuter trains
- Each train treated individually
- Example: new composition of train order





Extension 1: connected turnarounds

- Norrköping C is the end/start station for the commuter trains
- Each train treated individually
- Example: new composition of train order
- Connected with new name





Extension 2: alternative tracks

Method

1) Create a collection of templates of train routes

- Template
 - X2 (passing through)
 - X40 (passing through)
 - both directions
- Changing the track number e.g track 7 → track 6

2) Model evaluates, for each train

- Is there an alternative route available?
- If, compare both original route and alternative route for conflicts with previous trains
 → chooses the route with least conflicts





Observations so far from the extensions

- The order of the trains seems to matter
- The model doesn't really seem to know how to handle turning trains with long turning time, standing still at the platform waiting





Thoughts

- 1. How should we treat trains with long turning time?
 - Remove the stopping time, have a minimum turning time?
- 2. How much should we allow to re-order the trains?
- 3. How to define occupancy time at stations?





Future work

- Continue to validate and refine the model
- Apply another timetable and station



- Single lines
- Grading







Thank you

Elin Hellblom <u>elihel@kth.se</u>

